note: in this printout the PowerPoint animations hide some of the text and links among slides are not preserved

must come to lecture (Wed, 8 Jan) for the full HW#1 HINTS show !!!

## Homework Problems (#1-#4)

- **1.** For an ideal gas  $P\overline{V} = RT$  ( $\overline{V} \equiv V_m \equiv \frac{V}{n}$  molar volume) evaluate:
  - a.  $\left(\frac{\partial P}{\partial \overline{V}}\right)_{T}$ b.  $\left(\frac{\partial \overline{V}}{\partial T}\right)_{p}$ c.  $\left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial P}\right)_{\overline{V}}$ d.  $\left(\frac{\partial P}{\partial \overline{V}}\right)_{T}\left(\frac{\partial \overline{P}}{\partial T}\right)_{p}\left(\frac{\partial \overline{P}}{\partial \overline{P}}\right)_{\overline{V}}$

comments on math techniques 1,2 discussion section

e. One can often get, via the chain rule for differentiation, rigorous results by "canceling  $\partial$ 's". However the correct result for part d is **NOT** what one would obtain by just "canceling  $\partial$ 's" in the numerators and denominators in each of the three terms. Why for part d can one NOT apply the chain rule to get  $\left(\frac{\partial P}{\partial P}\right) = 1$ ? [NOTE: you may evaluate part d and e using the specific results from a, b, c for an ideal gas. However the correct result is a more general relationship for any well behaved

function z(x,y)]



f. How do the results of parts d and e compare? Why is this?

second and mixed partial derivatives, use parts a and c in b,d,e

## Engel & Reid problem P1.3

*hint :* see E&R example problem 1.4, p12 bar  $\leftrightarrow$  atm  $\leftrightarrow$  Pa atm=1.013 bar= 1.013×10<sup>5</sup> Pa L  $\leftrightarrow$  dm<sup>3</sup>  $\leftrightarrow$  m<sup>3</sup> L=dm<sup>3</sup>=(10<sup>-1</sup>m)<sup>3</sup>=10<sup>-3</sup> m<sup>3</sup>

\* 4. (optional) The Van der Waals and virial expressions are two commonly used equations of state as approximations to real gas behavior:

$$P = \frac{RT}{(\overline{V} - b)} - \frac{a}{\overline{V}^2} \quad Van \ der \ Waals$$

$$P = RT \left[ \frac{C_1(T)}{\overline{V}} + \frac{C_2(T)}{\overline{V}^2} + \frac{C_3(T)}{\overline{V}^3} \dots + \frac{C_n(T)}{\overline{V}^n} \dots \right] \text{ virial}$$

a. Show that the first three virial coefficients are related top the Van der

Waals parameters a and b in the following way:

C1(T)=1

C2(T)=b-a/RT

 $C_3(T) = b^2$ 

HINT: note that the virial expansion is just a Taylor (Maclaurin) series in  $z^{k} = \left(\frac{1}{\overline{V}}\right)^{k}$ 

$$\frac{P}{RT} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} C_k(T) \left(\frac{1}{\overline{V}}\right)^k = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} C_k(T) z^k = f(z;T)$$
with coefficient  $C_k(T) = \frac{1}{k!} \left(\frac{d^k f}{dz^k}\right)_{z=0}$ 

b. Why does the Van der Waals "a" only appear in C2(T) ?

Tutorial starts TOMORROW Thursday 9<sup>th</sup> January 7:30-8:40 PM Physical Sciences 140

HW #1 DUE IN CLASS<sup>†</sup> Friday, 10<sup>th</sup> January NAME and SECTION

*† students (but only students) enrolled in* Gabe's Section 1D (Fri 2:00-3:10 PM) may turn in HW in section or by 5PM in CHEM 163B HW box mail room outside PhysSci 230

$$PV = nRT \quad P\overline{V} = RT \quad P = \frac{RT}{\overline{V}} \qquad \overline{V} = \frac{V}{n} \text{ molar volume (E\&R V_m)}$$

$$(1a) \quad \left(\frac{\partial P}{\partial \overline{V}}\right)_T = ?$$

$$\left(\frac{\partial P}{\partial \overline{V}}\right)_T = \left(\frac{\partial \frac{RT}{\overline{V}}}{\partial \overline{V}}\right)_T =$$

$$II$$

$$?$$

$$does result make sense ?$$

$$if sign of \left(\frac{\partial P}{\partial \overline{V}}\right)_T > 0 \Rightarrow const T: increase \overline{V}, \text{ then P}$$

$$< 0 \Rightarrow const T: increase \overline{V}, \text{ then P}$$

Problem #2 Van der Waals equation of state:  $\left(P + \frac{a}{\overline{V}^2}\right)\left(\overline{V} - b\right) = RT$  (a, b, R are constants)  $(2a) \quad \left(\frac{\partial P}{\partial \overline{V}}\right)_T = (2c) \quad \left(\frac{\partial P}{\partial T}\right)_{\overline{V}} =$ 

